11:00 a.m. Briefing on Equal Educational Opportunity Project

CONTACT PERSON FOR FURTHER

INFORMATION: Barbara Brooks, Press and Communications (202) 376–8312.

Stephanie Y. Moore,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 97–3087 Filed 2–4–97; 12:20 pm]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A–580–812]

Dynamic Random Access Memory Semiconductors of One Megabit or Above From the Republic of Korea; Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; Time Limits

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limits of preliminary results of review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limits of the preliminary results of the third antidumping duty administrative review of dynamic random access memory semiconductors (DRAMs) from the Republic of Korea. The review covers two manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States and the period May 1, 1995 through April 30, 1996.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 6, 1997. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Thomas F. Futtner, AD/CVD Enforcement Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482–3814.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Both respondents in this proceeding have requested revocation of the antidumping duty order. At the request of parties to this proceeding, we have allowed parties to submit factual information on the record pertaining to the revocation issue and the likelihood of dumping in the future by the respondents. The petitioner and both respondents submitted such data on January 15, 1997, with rebuttal comments filed on January 27, 1997. In order to ensure ample time to fully analyze these factual submissions on a very complex issue, it is not practicable to issue the preliminary results within the original deadline mandated by Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Trade and Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay

Round Agreements Act of 1994. Accordingly, the Department is extending the time limits for completion of the preliminary results until no later than June 2, 1997.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(3)(A)).

Dated: January 31, 1997.

Jeffrey P. Bialos,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

 $[FR\ Doc.\ 97{-}3007\ Filed\ 2{-}5{-}97;\ 8{:}45\ am]$

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

International Trade Administration

[A-351-605]

Frozen Concentrated Orange Juice From Brazil: Preliminary Results and Termination in Part of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results and termination in part of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: In response to a request by Branco Peres Citrus, S.A. (Branco Peres) and CTM Citrus, S.A. (CTM) (which has since withdrawn its request, see below), the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on frozen concentrated orange juice (FCOJ) from Brazil. This review covers Branco Peres' exports of the subject merchandise to the United States. The period of review (POR) is May 1, 1995 through April 30, 1996. This is the ninth period of review.

The review indicates that there is no dumping margin for the above producer/exporter during this POR.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit arguments in this proceeding should also submit with the argument: (1) A statement of the issue, and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 6, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fabian Rivelis, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement Group II, Import Administration—Room B099, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3853.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Rounds Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the Federal Register on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

Background

On March 17, 1987, the Department published in the Federal Register (52 FR 8324) the final affirmative antidumping duty determination on FCOJ from Brazil. We published an antidumping duty order on May 5, 1987 (52 FR 16426).

On May 8, 1996, the Department published the Notice of Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review of this order for the period May 1, 1995 through April 30, 1996 (61 FR 20791). We received timely requests for review from two producers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States: CTM and Branco Peres. In addition, we received a timely request from Branco Peres that the Department revoke the antidumping duty order with respect to Branco Peres. On June 25, 1996, the Department initiated the review (61 FR 32771).

The Department issued the antidumping duty questionnaire on June 23, 1996, and we received Branco Peres' response to Sections A, B, and C on August 7, 1996. Section A of the questionnaire requests general information concerning the company's corporate structure and business practices, the merchandise under investigation that it sells, and the sales of that merchandise in all markets. Sections B and C of the questionnaire request home market or third country sales listings and U.S. sales listings, respectively. Also on August 7, 1996, CTM withdrew its request for administrative review. Accordingly, in accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(a)(5), we are terminating this review with respect to CTM.

The Department issued a supplemental questionnaire to Branco Peres on September 19, 1996, and we received a response on October 10, 1996. In December 1996, the Department conducted a verification of Branco Peres' response for this POR. On December 16, 1996, Branco Peres